

Question

What Even Is a Question?

Toward an Architectural Theory of Interrogative Acts in Symbolic Communication

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes that questions constitute a fundamental structural feature of human symbolic communication rather than mere linguistic constructions or social rituals. Drawing on Stephen H. Daniel's concept of communal "reading," the shared interpretive framework through which communities decode symbolic communications, we argue that questions serve as the primary mechanism by which individuals access, negotiate, and extend collective understanding. We introduce the ODD model (Opening, Direction, Depth) as an architectural framework for analyzing question effectiveness, and present a typology distinguishing between hook questions that seek bounded, specific answers and net questions that capture divergent, complex information. Our analysis identifies ten varieties of each type, offering a systematic vocabulary for interrogative acts. We conclude that questions are inherently social phenomena essential to community coherence, functioning not as admissions of individual ignorance but as active participation in the ongoing construction of shared meaning. This framework has implications for pedagogy, organizational communication, and cross-community knowledge transfer.

Keywords: questions, shared meaning, community reading, symbolic communication, information architecture, interrogative typology, ODD model

When a child asks "Why is the sky blue?" something consequential occurs. The question is not merely a request for information. It is an invitation to participate in a shared understanding that extends beyond anything the child could perceive directly. No amount of staring at the sky will reveal Rayleigh scattering. The answer must come from the community's accumulated knowledge, encoded in language and accessible only through the peculiar human practice of asking.

This observation points toward a structural truth about questions. They are not simply linguistic constructions or social rituals. They are, we propose, an inseparable feature of humankind's embrace of abstraction, a tool that evolved alongside our symbol systems because meaning encoded in symbols cannot be decoded from immediate sensory experience alone.

THE PROBLEM OF SHARED MEANING

To understand what questions actually do, we must first consider how meaning works in human communities. The philosopher Stephen H. Daniel, in his 1989 essay *Reading Places*, offers a compelling framework. Daniel argues that communities cohere because their members share a common understanding of what their symbols mean. He calls this shared understanding a *reading*: the interpretive lens through which a community decodes the *writing* of its symbolic communications.

Consider how this works with physical places. Daniel observes that a location becomes a “place” only when it is incorporated into a community’s vocabulary of interests. A river is merely water flowing through terrain until someone *names* it, until it becomes part of the community’s reading. Daniel puts it starkly: “Without a reading, there is no place.”

The same principle applies to all symbolic communication. To belong to a community means to have internalized its reading: to possess the decoder ring for the symbols that community uses to transmit meaning. An individual who cannot read the community’s symbols is, in the most literal sense, an outsider.

WHERE QUESTIONS ENTER

Questions become essential at precisely this point. A community’s reading is never static. New situations arise. Old understandings prove inadequate. Young members must be initiated into the shared vocabulary. And crucially, meaning encoded in symbols often cannot be inferred from context alone.

When a reader encounters an unfamiliar word in a book, the meaning can sometimes be guessed from surrounding sentences. But when a physician reports “elevated troponin levels,” no amount of contextual inference will suffice. One must *ask*, and in asking, request access to a portion of the community’s reading not yet possessed.

This is the core function of a question: to increase and enhance a person’s ability to decode information in a manner that extends mutual understanding with others in the community. The question is thus not peripheral to human communication but central to it. It is the mechanism by which the community’s reading is transmitted, negotiated, and extended.

THE ARCHITECTURE OF A GOOD QUESTION

If questions serve to open access to a community's reading, what makes one question more effective than another? Our analysis suggests that effective questions share a common architecture, which we call the ODD model. They create an Opening, establish a Direction, and operate at an appropriate Depth.

OPENING

A good question first creates a space, an opening, where the relevant portions of the community's reading can be examined. The question "What time is it?" opens a very small space: the current position of the hands on a clock. The question "What is time?" opens a vastly larger space, one that has occupied philosophers from Augustine to Einstein. The size of the opening is neither good nor bad in itself. What matters is whether the opening is appropriate to the questioner's actual need.

DIRECTION

Within the opened space, a question must point somewhere. We call this pointing the question's direction. An apt metaphor comes from fishing. Some questions are like hooks, designed to catch one specific thing. "Did the package arrive?" seeks a single, definite answer. Other questions are like nets, designed to capture whatever swims into their range. "What do you think about the new policy?" may yield any number of responses.

Neither hooks nor nets are superior. A surgeon asking "Is the patient allergic to penicillin?" requires a hook. A researcher asking "What factors contribute to urban decay?" requires a net. Matching the question's direction to the inquiry's purpose is part of the questioner's skill.

DEPTH

Finally, questions operate at different depths within the community's reading. Some questions stay at the surface, requesting information that the community has already codified and made readily available. "What is the capital of France?" asks for a fact that any educated member of the community can supply.

Other questions *transgress* the community's existing reading. They push into territory where the shared understanding is uncertain or absent. "Should artificial intelligence systems have legal rights?" is such a question. The community possesses no settled reading on this matter; the question itself is an invitation to construct one. We suspect that the most generative questions are those that transgress the community's reading at just the right depth: deep enough to open new territory, but not so deep as to lose connection with what the community already knows.

A TYPOLOGY OF QUESTIONS: HOOKS AND NETS

The directional aspect of questions, whether they function as hooks or nets, proves particularly amenable to systematic analysis. We have identified ten distinct varieties of each type.

HOOK QUESTIONS

Questions that seek specific, bounded answers; they move discussion toward singular points of clarity or closure.

TYPE	FUNCTION	EXAMPLE
Polar questions	expect yes or no. In English, they typically begin with a form of be or an auxiliary verb.	<i>Is this the right address? Have you finished the report?</i>
Gap-filling questions	arise when someone notices a hole in their understanding and requests the specific information needed to fill it.	<i>What year did the French Revolution begin?</i>
Convergent questions	guide toward a single best answer, often used to verify understanding.	<i>Given these symptoms, what is the most likely diagnosis?</i>
Advice-seeking questions	request guidance or recommendations rather than facts.	<i>Should I take the job offer?</i>
Reason-seeking questions	demand justification: they challenge the respondent to demonstrate the basis for a claim or action.	<i>Why did you choose that approach?</i>
“Wherefore” questions	(to use the older English term) ask for cause, purpose, or underlying principle.	<i>For what reason was the bridge built here rather than downstream?</i>
Tag questions	are small confirmations appended to statements: they invite agreement or quick verification.	<i>This is the correct form, isn't it?</i>
Alternative questions	present explicit options and request a selection.	<i>Would you prefer the meeting Monday, Wednesday, or Friday?</i>
Imperative-eliciting questions	seek a command as their answer.	<i>What should I do next?</i>
Confirmative questions	verify understanding or seek validation.	<i>So you're saying the deadline has moved to March?</i>

Table 1a. Ten varieties of hook questions.

NET QUESTIONS

Questions that open broader territories; they encourage divergent thinking and capture complex, varied information.

TYPE	FUNCTION	EXAMPLE
Hypothesis-scanning questions	test specific possibilities without foreclosing others.	<i>Could the problem be a faulty sensor?</i>
Echo questions	repeat something said, inviting elaboration or expressing surprise.	<i>You quit your job?</i>
Divergent questions	deliberately seek multiple possibilities.	<i>What are all the ways we could approach this problem?</i>
Open-ended questions	invite extended responses without constraining their form.	<i>Tell me about your experience with the project.</i>
General inquiry questions	broadly explore a person or topic.	<i>What's your background?</i>
Variable questions	use interrogative words (who, what, where, when, why, how) to request whatever information fills the gap.	<i>Where did you grow up?</i>
Rhetorical questions	do not seek answers at all; they make points or engage attention.	<i>If we don't act now, what hope is there?</i>
Deep questions	explore causes, connections, and underlying structures.	<i>Why do some communities thrive while others decline?</i>
"What if" questions	imagine alternative situations and their consequences.	<i>What if we had unlimited budget?</i>
Relevance questions	probe significance and connection.	<i>Why does this matter for our project?</i>

Table 1b. Ten varieties of net questions.

THE SOCIAL LIFE OF QUESTIONS

One implication of this analysis deserves emphasis. If questions function to transmit and extend a community's reading, then the practice of questioning is inherently social. This aligns with a foundational insight from the philosopher Martin Heidegger: that human existence is always existence-with-others. We do not first exist as isolated individuals and then subsequently form communities. We exist as members of communities, and our very capacity for meaning depends on that membership.

Questions, then, are not private mental events that occasionally get voiced. They are fundamentally interpersonal acts, attempts to participate more fully in the shared understanding that constitutes community life. This explains why asking questions can feel vulnerable. To ask is to admit that one's reading is incomplete, that one has not yet fully internalized the community's shared understanding. In communities where such admissions are punished, questions become rare, and the community's capacity to extend its reading withers.

It also explains why teaching someone to ask good questions is so valuable. The skilled questioner can navigate the community's reading efficiently, opening precisely the spaces needed, directing attention to the relevant territory, and operating at the appropriate depth. Such a person is not merely gathering information but participating actively in the ongoing construction of shared meaning.

QUESTIONS YET TO ANSWER

Much remains to be understood about the architecture of questions. We have said little about how direction and depth interact. Can a hook question operate at transgressive depth? Can a net question stay at the surface? Preliminary analysis suggests both are possible, but the dynamics differ in interesting ways.

We have also set aside the distinction between direct and indirect questioning. Each of the twenty question types we have identified can be posed either way, and the choice carries social significance that deserves separate treatment. Finally, we have not addressed how questions function across the boundaries between communities, where different readings collide and translation becomes necessary. This may be where questions prove most essential, and most difficult.

Traditional treatments have approached questions as grammatical structures, conversational turn-taking devices, or pedagogical techniques. Our analysis suggests something more fundamental: questions are the mechanism through which meaning encoded in symbols becomes accessible to individuals who cannot derive that meaning from direct sensory experience.

The ODD model provides an analytical vocabulary that has been absent from prior frameworks. By decomposing questions into Opening (the conceptual space made available for examination), Direction (whether the question functions as a hook seeking specific answers or a net capturing varied responses), and Depth (the degree to which the question operates within or transgresses the community's settled understanding), we enable systematic comparison across interrogative acts that previously seemed incommensurable.

This framework builds upon and extends Daniel's (1989) treatment of communal reading while departing from it in important respects. Daniel's concern was primarily with how places acquire meaning through incorporation into community vocabularies. We have generalized this insight to symbolic communication broadly, arguing that all meaning transmission, not merely spatial meaning, depends upon shared interpretive frameworks that must be actively maintained and extended.

The Heideggerian dimension of our analysis, that human existence is fundamentally existence-with-others, grounds the social nature of questioning in ontological rather than merely pragmatic terms. Questions are not private cognitive events that occasionally find public expression; they are constitutively interpersonal acts.

Several practical implications follow. First, pedagogical approaches that discourage questioning, whether through explicit sanction or implicit cultural pressure, do not merely inconvenience learners; they sever the mechanism by which communities transmit and extend their reading. The vulnerability inherent in asking must be protected if communities are to remain adaptive.

Second, the hook/net distinction suggests that question-asking is a skill amenable to instruction. Matching interrogative direction to inquiry purpose (knowing when to deploy a hook that seeks a single answer versus a net that captures complexity) constitutes practical wisdom that can be cultivated.

Third, organizational contexts that wish to foster innovation should attend to the conditions under which transgressive questioning becomes possible. Questions that push beyond the community's current reading are precisely those most likely to generate novel understanding, yet they are also those most likely to be experienced as threatening.

What we can say with some confidence is that the question is no mere linguistic convenience. It is a structural feature of how humans create and share meaning, a tool so fundamental that a community without questions would be a community frozen in whatever understanding it happened to possess at its founding. That, surely, would be no community at all.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Big Think. Questioning the Nature of Questions: A Philosophical Exploration. 2025.
- Boyce-Jacino, Charles, and Simon DeDeo. "Opacity, Obscurity, and the Geometry of Question-Asking." *Cognition*, vol. 200, article 104268, 2020.
- Bromberger, Sylvain. "Questions." *The Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 63, 597–606, 1966.
- Callard, Agnes. *Open Socrates: The Case for a Philosophical Life*. U of Chicago P, 2025.
- Carruthers, Peter. "Questioning Attitudes." *Mind & Language*, vol. 35, 493–517, 2020.
- Fuller, R. Buckminster. *Operating Manual for Spaceship Earth*. Southern Illinois UP, 1972.
- Greater Good Magazine, UC Berkeley. Why Humans Ask Questions (and Apes Don't). 2025.
- Gurri, Martin. "The Distance from Orality to the Alphabet." *The Fifth Wave*, 2024.
- Harms, Arnold C. *Inquiring Man: A Study in the Dynamics of Inquiry*. Drew University, 1997.
- Harris, Paul L. "Children's Questions." *Mind & Language*, vol. 35, 528–542, 2020.
- Huang, Karen, et al. "It Doesn't Hurt to Ask: Question-Asking Increases Liking." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 113, 430–452, 2017.
- Huddleston, Rodney. "The Contrast between Interrogatives and Questions." *Journal of Linguistics*, vol. 30, 411–439, 1994.
- Ibáñez Molinero, Rafael, and Juan A. García-Madruga. "Knowledge and Question Asking." *Psicothema*, vol. 23, 26–30, 2011.
- Karttunen, Lauri. "Syntax and Semantics of Questions." *Linguistics and Philosophy*, vol. 1, 3–44, 1977.
- Ladas, Harold, and Louis Osti. "Asking Questions: A Strategy for Teachers." *The High School Journal*, vol. 56, 174–189, 1973.
- Lazovsky, Lior, Charles Boyce-Jacino, and Simon DeDeo. "The Art of Creative Inquiry—From Question Asking to Prompt Engineering." *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 2024–25.
- Liggins, David. "The Autism Objection to Pretence Theories." *The Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 60, 764–782, 2010.
- Millson, Jared A. *How to Ask a Question in the Space of Reasons: Assertions, Queries, and the Normative Structure of Minimally Discursive Practices*. Emory University, 2014.
- Morgan, John. "Religion and Culture as Meaning Systems: A Dialogue between Geertz and Tillich." *The Journal of Religion*, vol. 57, 363–375, 1977.
- Nakada, Seiichi. *Aspects of Interrogatives and Related Phenomena: A Case Study from Japanese and English*. University of Michigan, 1976.
- Ong, Walter J. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. Methuen, 1982.
- Osborne, Jonathan, Sibel Erduran, and Shirley Simon. "The Role of Argument and Questioning in Science Education." *Handbook of Research on Science Education*, vol. 2, 463–488, 2014.
- Otero, José. "Question Generation and Curiosity." *The Psychology of Science Text Comprehension*, 437–457, 2001.
- Otero, José, and Arthur C. Graesser. "PREG: Elements of a Model of Question Asking." *Cognition and Instruction*, vol. 19, 143–175, 2001.

- Ram, Ashwin. "A Theory of Questions and Question Asking." *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, vol. 1, 273–318, 1991.
- Reichling, Mary J. "Susanne Langer's Theory of Symbolism: An Analysis and Extension." *Philosophy of Music Education Review*, vol. 1, 3–17, 1993.
- Rilke, Rainer Maria. *Letters to a Young Poet*. W. W. Norton, 1954.
- Saunders, Rob, et al. *Human Conversation and Relational Meaning in Dialogue*. 2025.
- Searle, John R. "Meaning and Speech Acts." *The Philosophical Review*, vol. 71, 423–432, 1962.
- Shore, Bradd. "Is Language a Prisonhouse?" *Cultural Anthropology*, vol. 2, 115–136, 1987.
- Singer, Harry. "Active Comprehension: From Answering to Asking Questions." *The Reading Teacher*, vol. 31, 901–908, 1978.
- Sith, A. "The Ability to Ask Questions and Human Evolutionary Success." *Aeon*, 2023.
- Smith, Tom W. "The Art of Asking Questions, 1936–1985." *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, vol. 51, S95–S108, 1987.
- Stephens, James. *The Crock of Gold*. Macmillan, 1920.
- Strand, Courtney. *Oral Cultures Believe Knowledge Is a Verb*. 2025.
- Stromswold, Karin. "The Acquisition of Subject and Object Wh-Questions." *Language Acquisition*, vol. 4, 5–48, 1995.
- Tippett, Krista. "Questions Elicit Answers in Their Likeness." *On Being*, 2022.
- Tomita, Masaru. "Sentence Disambiguation by Asking." *Computers and Translation*, vol. 1, 39–51, 1986.
- University of North Carolina College of Arts and Sciences. "The Power of Asking Questions." *College of Arts and Sciences News*, 2025.
- van Dijk, José. *The Art and Science of Asking Questions*. Amsterdam University Press, 2017.
- van Dijk, Esther M. "Articulating the Right Question at the Right Time." *Science Education*, vol. 101, 579–603, 2017.
- Walbridge, John. "The Islamic Art of Asking Questions: Ilm al-Ikhtilaf and the Institutionalization of Disagreement." *Islamic Studies*, vol. 41, 69–86, 2002.
- Whyte, David. "The Art of Asking Beautiful Questions." *On Being with Krista Tippett*, 2022.
- Zerpa, Levis. *The Interrogative Approach to Inquiry: A Defense of Moderate Contextualism in the Theory of Questions*. University of Kentucky, 2011.
- Zeshan, Ulrike. "Interrogative Constructions in Signed Languages: Crosslinguistic Perspectives." *Language*, vol. 80, 7–39, 2004.
- Zimmerman, Barry J., and Earl O. Pike. "Effects of Modeling and Reinforcement on the Acquisition and Generalization of Question-Asking Behavior." *Child Development*, vol. 43, 892–907, 1972.

Special thanks to Smriti Vamaraju, Design Researcher, University of Michigan College of Engineering